5

1 It is the Court's understanding based

- 2 on the representations made by the defense that the
- 3 defendant will make no attempt to introduce evidence
- 4 inconsistent with the responses they have given to
- 5 the requests for admissions, and the Court will so
- 6 order. I assume that the -- that is a correct
- 7 representation of the defense's position as stated.
- 8 MR. SHARPE: Your Honor, that is
- 9 correct subject to the fact that if during the
- 10 discovery we learn that one of those answers or
- 11 requests can be answered. We will, of course, at
- 12 that time immediately supplement our answers, and if
- 13 we supplement the answers where proof would be
- 14 appropriate, then, of course, if it's timely
- 15 supplemented then, yes, we would offer evidence.
- 16 But otherwise, no, we would not. You are correct.
- 17 THE COURT: And the Court obviously
- 18 takes very seriously the issue of timeliness
- 19 relative to any attempt to amend the responses in
- 20 light of this issue being so thoroughly briefed and
- 21 argued by the parties and being brought to a head at
- 22 this point in time.
- 23 MR. SHARPE: We take it seriously.
- 24 too, Your Honor
- 25 THE COURT: Let's move to the next

- 1 issue. The Defendant's Motion to Strike Experts.
- 2 Again, I have considered the arguments and written
- 3 submissions of the parties. The defendant's motion
- 4 is denied.
- 5 I move to the next issue, and that is
- 6 the issue of the Motion to Stay. And taking into
- 7 consideration not only the written submissions of
- 8 the parties and the argument of counsel, but also
- 9 the rulings that I'm making today and the impact
- 10 that that will have on the necessary discovery
- 11 timetable, I think that the motion for stay should
- 12 be denied.
- The Court notes that the written
- 14 discovery propounded by the plaintiffs in part was
- one mechanism that could have reduced the number of
- 16 expert witnesses that might be necessary in the
- 17 case, and would probably have reduced the timetable
- 18 necessary for discovery. In light of the positions
- 19 of the parties and the rulings that have been made
- 20 by the Court, I think that it would be inappropriate
- 21 to stay discovery under the circumstances.
- Next let's move to the objections.
- 23 Did the defense receive a copy of a letter that has
- 24 been filed by counsel for the plaintiff? I show
- 25 that copies were provided.